HOW TO LEAD COORDINATION MEETINGS IN AN EFFECTIVE WAY

Corne

WHY MEETINGS HELD IN THE OLD WAY DOES NOT WORK

FAIL 1 - IT IS NOT KNOWN WHY THE MEETING WAS ORGANIZED

FAIL 2 - MEETINGS ARE UNNECESSARILY TOO LONG

FAIL 3 - NO ONE SUMMARIZED ANYTHING OR ASSIGNED ANY TASKS

FAIL 4 - NO ONE EVALUATED TASKS AND ACTIONS FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING

FAIL 5 - PARTICIPANTS USE SMARTPHONES AND LAPTOPS DURING THE MEETING



HOW TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM ?

CONDUCT MEETINGS USING ICE METHOD -INTEGRATED CONCURRENT ENGINEERING



1. PURPOSE / SUBJECT OF THE MEETING



Specify what is the purpose / theme of the meeting. This can be, for example, checking multi-branch collisions in a hospital building on the third floor, or analyzing intersection design solutions.

2. MEETING PARTICIPANTS

Invite only people who have a direct impact on the design solution, or are decisionmakers in the project. They can be main designers, project leaders.



3. PREPARATION AND MEETING REQUEST



Remember to notify people early enough so that they have time to prepare for it.

4. AGENDA

Specify the exact agenda of the meeting. Remember that each point on your agenda should be precise and have set the time needed for discussing the matter. Stick to the time frame!



5. LOCATION AND FREQUENCY OF MEETINGS



Try to make the meeting take place with same frequency and include it in the project schedule. It is important to specify a permanent place where the meeting will take place.

6. FACILITIES

The room in which the meeting takes place should have tools to facilitate its conduct. These tools can be a projector, monitors, audio equipment or project management boards.



7. **ROLE**



Everyone who attends the meeting should know their role. An important person is BIM Fasilitator. It is the person who leads the meeting and sticks to the agenda.

8. MEETING EVALUATION

Remember to summarize the meeting with the participants. Focus on items that were good and those that need improvement. Continuous improvement is the key to conducting effective meetings.





	~	
Date: 2019-10-11	Project: St Andrew Hospital Redevelopement	
Session number: 2	Meeting type: Multi-disciplinary meeting	Topic: Unit B, Floor III
		Comments
Goal:	Solving desing problems between MEP and structural engineers	No data about the fire class of the electrical installation.
Meeting result:	Finding a common solution to a multi-discipline collision. Creating a report in the BIMcollab program and determining the next steps to solve the problem	

In this section of the template we specify the purpose of the meeting along with information about the topic it concerns.

If there are any additional comments about the meeting, we write them in this section.

It is important to specify the expected **Result** of the meeting. This later helps in assessing whether it has fulfilled its role and solved the discussed problem.



Participants				
First/Last Name	Discipline	Company	Presence	Preparation
John Smith	BIM Coordinator	SKANSKA	X	Multidisciplinary model and
Mary Brown	Electrical	WSP	X	
James Dean	Structural	AECOM	X	
Amanda Powers	Mechanical/Ventilation	COWI	X	
Mark Void	Architecure	SNOHETTA	X	
Dan Markov	Phumbing	COWI	X	
Peter Bourne	Gas	GAZPROM	X	
Caroline Seaman	BIM Fasilitator	SKANSKA	X	Agenda
Anna Kowalska	Project Leader	SKANSKA	Х	

In this part of the template we write information about the participants invited to the meeting.

Who are they, what industry and companies they come from. We also use it as an attendance list of the invited people.

In the **Preparation** section we provide information - what a given person must prepare for the meeting.



AGENDA						
Activity	Responsible	Involved members	Duration (min)	Arrangements	Comment	
Review of goals, intentions of the meeting	BIM Fasilitator	All	5			
Status overview from all participants	Project Leader		20			
Review of multi-disciplinary model	BIM Coordinator		10			
Discussing collision between MEP and Structural discipline	BIM Coordinator		50			
Review of other collisions in the model and update of threads in BIMcollab	BIM Coordinator		10			
Action plan after the meeting	Project Leader		15			
Break			10			
TOTAL			120			

In this part we point out the meeting schedule.

Discussed subjects are specified and a person who will be responsible for presenting the given topic is appointed.

The time frame determines how much time we spend on discussing a given topic. In this way, we focus on specific issues without keeping a conversation going forever.



Evaluation				
Pros Delta				
Thorough analysis of the problem	No architectural discipline at the meeting			
Finding solution to the problem	Problems accessing the model			

An important aspect of the meeting is its final evaluation. Each participant assesses whether the meeting has brought the expected results.

It is important to speak out loud about elements that we did not like and about those that need improvement.



	BIM Corner	\bigcirc						
Date: 2019-10-11	Project: St Andrew Hospital R	Redevelopement						
Session number: 2		Meeting type: Multi-disciplinary meeting		Topic: Unit B, Floor III				
				Comments				
Goal:	Solving desing problems between ME	oblems between MEP and structural engineers			No data about the fire class of the electrical installation.			
Meeting result:	Finding a common solution to a multi-di report in the BIMcollab program and d							
	Pa	rticipants						
First/Last Name	Discipline	Company	Presence		Preparation	n		
John Smith	BIM Coordinator	SKANSKA	Х	Multidise	ciplinary model and			
Mary Brown	Electrical	WSP	Х					
James Dean	Structural	AECOM	Х					
Amanda Powers	Mechanical/Ventilation	COWI	Х					
Mark Void	Architecure	SNOHETTA	Х					
Dan Markov	Phumbing	COWI	Х					
Peter Bourne	Gas	GAZPROM	Х					
Caroline Seaman	BIM Fasilitator	SKANSKA	Х		Agenda			
Anna Kowalska	Project Leader	SKANSKA	Х					
	A	GENDA		D 1				
Activity		Responsible	Involved members	Duration (min)	Arrangements	Comment		
Review of goals, intentions of the meeti	ing	BIM Fasilitator	All	5				
Status overview from all participants		Project Leader		20				
Review of multi-disciplinary model		BIM Coordinator		10				
Discussing collision between MEP and Structural discipline		BIM Coordinator		50				
Review of other collisions in the model and update of threads in BIMcollab		BIM Coordinator		10				
Action plan after the meeting		Project Leader		15				
Break				10				
TOTAL				120				
Ev	valuation							
Pros	Delta							
Thorough analysis of the problem	No architectural discipline at the meeting							
		1						



Authors of this guide are:



Ignacy Lozinski



in ...

Hi, I'm Ignacy. On the blog, I like to write about the implementation of new technologies in the construction industry, BIM-coordination of multi-disciplinary projects and development of your own BIM solutions.



Marcin Pszczolka

Hello, I am Marcin and I work as a BIM Coordinator in the road department in a consultancy firm in Norway. New technologies in the transportation sector are my strength.